



CITY OF YORK, PENNSYLVANIA TABLE OF CONTENTS

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	1
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133	3
Supplementary Information	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	8
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	9
Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	15



Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

To the Members of City Council City of York, Pennsylvania

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of York, Pennsylvania as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City of York, Pennsylvania's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated May 16, 2016.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City of York, Pennsylvania's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of York, Pennsylvania's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of York, Pennsylvania's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies, and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2014-001, 2014-002, 2014-003 and 2014-004 to be material weaknesses.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City of York's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

City of York, Pennsylvania's Response to Findings

The City of York, Pennsylvania's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the corrective action plan. The City of York, Pennsylvania's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements, and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Oaks, Pennsylvania May 16, 2016

Maillie LLP



Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

To the Members of City Council City of York, Pennsylvania

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of York, Pennsylvania's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City of York, Pennsylvania's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2014. The City of York, Pennsylvania's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City of York, Pennsylvania's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City of York, Pennsylvania's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City of York, Pennsylvania's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Programs

In our opinion, the City of York, Pennsylvania complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City of York, Pennsylvania is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City of York, Pennsylvania's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program as a basis for designing auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of York, Pennsylvania's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies, and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses and significant deficiencies.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of York, Pennsylvania as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City of York, Pennsylvania's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated May 16, 2016, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Oaks, Pennsylvania May 16, 2016

Maille LLP



CITY OF YORK, PENNSYLVANIA

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

Federal Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Grantor's Number	Expenditures
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT Direct Programs			
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218	B-11-MC-42-0018	\$ 10,270
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218	B-12-MC-42-0018	17,675
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218	B-13-MC-42-0018	556,449
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218	B-14-MC-42-0018	622,374
Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239	M-13-MC-42-0014	70,252
Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239	M-14-MC-42-0014	269,109
EDI-Special Project	14.251	B-10-SP-PA-0161	10,337
Fair Housing Assistance Program	14.401	FF203K083002	4,414
TOTAL FORWARD			1,560,880
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE			
Direct Programs			
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant	16.607	A/N	10,669
Justice Assistance Grant	16.738	2011-DJ-BX-2432	32,908
Justice Assistance Grant	16.738	2012-DJ-BX-0101	38,458
Justice Assistance Grant	16.738	2013-DJ-BX-1026	12,085
TOTAL FORWARD			\$ 94,120

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

Federal Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Grantor's Number	Expenditures
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT TOTAL FORWARDED			\$ 1,560,880
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TOTAL FORWARDED			94,120
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Passed through the Pennsylvania Department of Health Public Health Emergency Preparedness (Formerly Righerrorism)	03 069	SAP4100062885	84 461
Public Health Emergency Preparedness (Formerly Bioterrorism)	93.069	4100062885-R1	73,923
Immunization Grants	93.268	4100064392	160,515
HIV/AIDS Prevention Projects	93.943	4100060806	72,693
HIV/AIDS Prevention Projects	93.943	4100060806	76,490
Dental Sealant	93.991	SAP4100058115 R1	36,451
Dental Sealant	93.991	4100066637	11,707
Injury Prevention	93.991	SAP 4100055358	62,890
OPANAC	93.991	SAP 4100055605	94,255
OPANAC	93.991	SAP 4100055606	99,328
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant	93.994	SAP 4100055370 R1	36,499
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant	93.994	4100065633 A1	30,060
Tuberculosis	93.116	4100065367	23,922
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES			863,194
TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS			\$ 2,518,194

See accompanying notes to the schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

NOTE A - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the City of York, Pennsylvania (the "City") and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Federal expenditures do not include amounts funded by program income. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*.

NOTE B - SUBRECIPIENTS

Of the federal expenditures presented in the schedule and tested as a major program, the City provided federal awards to subrecipients as follows:

	Federal CFDA Number	Amount Provided to Subrecipients	
Community Development Block Grants/			
Entitlement Grants	14.218	\$	512,600
Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239		234,647
Justice Assistance Grant	16.738		12,239
Economic Development Initiative - Special Project	14.251	_	10,337
		\$	769,823

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

A. SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditors' report issued: **Unmodified**

Internal control over financial reporting:

Material weakness(es) identified: Yes

Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses: No

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted: **No**

Federal Awards

Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs: **Unmodified**

Internal control over major programs:

Material weaknesses(es) identified: No

Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses: No

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of the Circular: **No**

Identification of major programs:

Program	CFDA
Entitlement Grants Cluster	
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218
HOME Investment Partnerships Program	14.239

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$300,000

Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee: **No**

CITY OF YORK, PENNSYLVANIA SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

B. FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT

2014-001 General Ledger Management (A similar condition was noted in prior year finding 13-1.)

Criteria: Controls must be in place to ensure the City, the Redevelopment Authority, and the General Authority maintain an accurate self-balancing general ledger.

Condition: During our audit, we noted the following:

- The City of York Redevelopment Authority and the City of York General Authority do not each have a complete self-balancing set of books that includes all of the transactions of each Authority. The consultant compiling the City's financial statements must still combine all the activity from many different accounts of the Redevelopment Authority and the General Authority to prepare complete financial statements. The inherent risk of having an unrecorded transaction in the financial statements is greatly increased by the practice of not having one general ledger where all transactions of the Redevelopment Authority are recorded and one general ledger where all transactions of the General Authority are recorded
- During 2007, the City changed banks for multiple accounts, and some accounts changed from noninterest bearing to interest bearing. During our audit, we noted that the City Treasurer/Control Account had accumulated interest earned in each year from 2007 through 2014 that was not recorded in the City's general ledger. The amounts were recorded as reconciling items on the cash reconciliations for these accounts.

Cause:

- The Redevelopment Authority does not maintain a separate self-balancing set of books that includes all transactions of the Redevelopment Authority and the General Authority does not maintain a separate self-balancing set of books that includes all transactions of the General Authority.
- The City does not have a policy in place to allocate and record interest earned in the City Treasurer/Control Account.

Effect:

• The Redevelopment Authority cannot produce complete financial statements reflecting all activities of the Redevelopment Authority and the General Authority cannot produce complete financial statements reflecting all activities of the General Authority. The consultant that assists the City in preparing the financial statements combines activity from multiple ledgers and sources to prepare a complete set of financial statements for both the Redevelopment Authority and the General Authority.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

B. FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT (Continued)

• Interest that was earned in the City Treasurer/Control Account has not been transferred to the City's investment account. The interest earned was not allocated or recorded in the general ledgers of the City's applicable funds. As of December 31, 2014, the funds accumulated in the City Treasurer/Control Account have been treated as a reconciling item on the year-end cash reconciliations.

Recommendation:

- We recommend both the Redevelopment Authority and General Authority maintain a separate and complete self-balancing set of books. The books should include all balance sheet and income statement accounts and reflect all transactions incurred by each Authority. The end-of-year trial balances should be sent to the Finance Department so the City can include this information in the financial statements.
- We recommend the City create a policy to track and allocate the interest earned in the City Treasurer/Control Account. The City Treasurer/Control Account is used to collect monies for the City, County and School District. The policy should address the equitable allocation of interest between the County and the School District and between the City's Governmental and Proprietary Funds.

We further recommend transferring the interest earned in these accounts to the School District, County and City's investment account on a monthly basis. The City's interest should be recorded in the general ledgers of the various funds based upon a monthly allocation calculation.

2014-002 Cash Management and Interfund Receivables/Payables (A similar condition was noted in prior year finding 13-2.)

Criteria:

Cash Management - Controls must be in place to monitor and prevent large cash deficits from occurring.

Interfund Receivables/Payables/Cash Deficits - The City must review the balances of the interfund receivables, payables and accumulated cash deficits on an annual basis to determine if repayment can be expected. Governmental accounting standards stipulate that if repayment is not expected within a reasonable time period, the interfund balances should be reduced and the amount that is not expected to be repaid should be reported as a transfer from the fund that made the loan to the fund that received the loan.

Condition:

Cash Management - The City maintains many of its funds in a pooled account. During 2014, the City borrowed cash from other funds to cover deficits in several of its funds. Multiple funds have accumulated large cash deficits as a result of current year borrowings and accumulated borrowings from prior years.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

B. FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT (Continued)

Interfund Receivables/Payables/Cash Deficits - When a particular fund has a cash deficit and borrows funds from another fund, an interfund payable results in the fund that borrowed the funds and an interfund receivable results in the fund that paid out the funds. Over time, these interfund receivables and payables can grow as a result of the fund deficits.

Cause:

Cash Management - The City did not have procedures in place to prevent cash deficits from occurring in multiple funds. The City's budget process has not resulted in the funding of these accumulated cash deficits within various funds.

Interfund Receivables/Payables/Cash Deficits - During the current year and in prior years, the City did not have procedures in place to prevent cash deficits from occurring in multiple funds, which resulted in interfund receivables and payables and accumulated cash deficits.

Effect:

Cash Management - As of December 31, 2014, the City borrowed cash from other funds to cover deficits in several of its funds. Significant cash deficits have accumulated in various funds.

Interfund Receivables/Payables/Cash Deficits - Significant interfund balances have accumulated over the years from having large cash deficits in certain funds.

Recommendation:

Cash Management - The City should consider, as part of the budget process, preparing separate cash flow projections by fund. With document in hand, interfund borrowing, as well as the repayment of such borrowing, can be planned.

Interfund Receivables/Payables/Cash Deficits - The City should, as part of the budget process, address the repayment of interfund receivables and payables. The balances of receivables and payables should be reviewed by management on an annual basis to determine if repayment is expected in a reasonable time period. If repayment cannot be expected, the interfund balances should be reduced and the amount that is not expected to be repaid should be reported as a transfer from the fund that made the loan to the fund that received the loan.

CITY OF YORK, PENNSYLVANIA SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

B. FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT (Continued)

2014-003 Controls Over Employer Pension Contributions to Plans (A similar condition was noted in prior year finding 13-3.)

Criteria: Controls must be in place to ensure that minimum municipal pension obligations are paid to the pension funds on a timely basis and in accordance with the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standards. Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standards require the minimum municipal obligation be paid by the municipality prior to December 31 of the current year.

Condition: For 2014, the City did not fund the entire required minimum municipal pension obligation to the Pension Trust Funds by December 31 of the respective year. For 2014, the required minimum municipal obligation for Fire, Police and Officers and Employees was \$5,753,071, of which \$1,632,433 was funded by December 31, 2014. At the end of 2014, there was still a \$1,145,796 obligation remaining for the 2013 minimum municipal obligation excluding significant interest charges, and this obligation continued to accumulate interest. The City recorded a liability for the unfunded minimum municipal pension obligation, as well as the calculated interest charges as of December 31, 2014, in the General Fund and recorded a receivable in the Pension Trust Funds for the equivalent amounts due.

The Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standards require that any amount of minimum obligation of the municipality which remains unpaid as of December 31 shall have interest charged from January 1 of the year in which the minimum obligation was first due until the date of payment. The rate of interest charged shall be paid at the greater amount of the interest rate utilized for the actuarial valuation report or the discount rate applicable to Treasury bills issued by the Department of Treasury of the United States with a six-month maturity as of the last business day in December of the plan year in which the obligation is due. The total calculated accumulated interest due as of December 31, 2014, was \$882,213. The City provided a liability for this amount in the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Cause: The City did not have controls in place to ensure pension contributions were remitted to the Pension Trust Funds on a timely basis and in accordance with the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standards.

Effect. The City did not fund the entire minimum municipal obligation by December 31 and, therefore, did not comply with the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standards. The time lag for funding pension has significantly increased within the last two years. This results in additional interest charges which add to the unfunded balance. The City continues to get further behind in funding pension obligations by pushing obligations forward to future budget periods.

Recommendation: We recommend the City institute procedures to ensure the minimum municipal obligation is funded prior to December 31 of the same year. In order to fund the pension timely, the City must review the budget process in order to meet the cash flow needs to fund the minimum municipal obligation by year-end.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

B. FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT (Continued)

2014-004 Controls Over Recording of Pension Plan Activity

Criteria: Controls must be in place to ensure that all of the activity of the pension plans is properly recorded.

Condition: For 2014, the City transferred \$2,798,740 from a pre-existing pension investment account to a new investment account. This new investment account was not recorded as an asset, and the activity of the account was not recorded.

Cause: The City did not have controls in place to ensure all pension activity was properly recorded on the books.

Effect: The new investment account was not recorded as an asset, and the activity of the account was not recorded. During the reconciliation of the pension accounts, the transfer of funds out of the pre-existing investment accounts was improperly recorded as a loss on investments rather than a new investment account.

Recommendation: We recommend the City institute procedures to ensure that any transfers to new investment accounts are tracked and statements are received for the new investment account. Those statements should then be utilized to ensure that all investment accounts are reflected in the activities of the pension fund.

C. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT

None noted.

13-1 General Ledger Management

Condition: During our audit, we noted the following:

- The City of York Redevelopment Authority does not have a complete self-balancing set of books that includes all transactions of the Redevelopment Authority. The consultant compiling the City's financial statements must still combine all the activity from many different accounts of the Redevelopment Authority to prepare complete financial statements. The inherent risk of having an unrecorded transaction in the financial statements is greatly increased by the practice of not having one general ledger where all transactions of the Redevelopment Authority are recorded.
- During 2007, the City changed banks for multiple accounts, and some accounts changed from noninterest bearing to interest bearing. During our audit, we noted the City Treasurer/Control Account had accumulated interest earned in 2007 through 2014 that was not recorded in the City's general ledger. The amounts were recorded as reconciling items on the cash reconciliations for these accounts.

Recommendation:

- We recommend the Redevelopment Authority maintain a separate and complete self-balancing set of books. The books should include all balance sheet and income statement accounts and reflect all transactions incurred by the Redevelopment Authority. The end-of-year trial balance should be sent to the Finance Department so the City can include this information in the financial statements.
- We recommend the City create a policy to track and allocate the interest earned in the
 City Treasurer/Control Account. The City Treasurer/Control Account is used to collect
 monies for the City, County and School District. The policy should address the equitable
 allocation of interest between the County and the School District and between the City's
 Governmental and Proprietary Funds.

We further recommend transferring the interest earned in these accounts to the School District, County and City's investment account on a monthly basis. The City's interest should be recorded in the general ledgers of the various funds based upon a monthly allocation calculation.

Current Status: Not corrected.

See current finding 2014-001, General Ledger Management.

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

13-2 Cash Management and Interfund Receivables/Payables

Condition:

Cash Management - The City maintains many of its funds in a pooled account. During 2013, the City borrowed cash from other funds to cover deficits in several of its funds. Multiple funds have accumulated large cash deficits as a result of current year borrowings and accumulated borrowings from prior years.

Interfund Receivables/Payables - When a particular fund has a cash deficit and borrows funds from another fund, an interfund payable results in the fund that borrowed the funds and an interfund receivable results in the fund that paid out the funds. Over time, these interfund receivables and payables can grow as a result of the fund deficits.

Recommendation:

Cash Management - The City should consider, as part of the budget process, preparing separate cash flow projections by fund. With document in hand, interfund borrowing, as well as the repayment of such borrowing, can be planned.

Interfund Receivables/Payables - The City should, as part of the budget process, address the repayment of interfund receivables and payables. The balances of receivables and payables should be viewed by management on an annual basis to determine if repayment is expected in a reasonable time period. If repayment cannot be expected, the interfund balances should be reduced and the amount that is not expected to be repaid should be reported as a transfer from the fund that made the loan to the fund that received the loan.

Current Status: Not corrected.

See current finding 2014-002, Cash Management and Interfund Receivables/Payables.

13-3 Controls Over Employer Pension Contributions to Plans

Condition: 2013, the City did not fund the entire required minimum municipal pension obligation to the Pension Trust Funds by December 31 of the respective year. For 2013, the required minimum municipal obligation for Fire, Police and Officers and Employees was \$5,708,552, of which \$305,153 was funded by December 31, 2013. At the end of 2013, there was still a \$5,403,399 obligation remaining for the 2013 minimum municipal obligation excluding significant interest charges, and this obligation continued to accumulate interest. The City recorded a liability for the unfunded minimum municipal pension obligation, as well as the calculated interest charges as of December 31, 2013, in the General Fund and recorded a receivable in the Pension Trust Funds for the equivalent amounts due. The Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standards require that any amount of minimum obligation of the municipality which remains unpaid as of December 31 shall have interest charged from January 1 of the year in which the minimum obligation was first due until the date of payment. The rate of interest charged shall be paid at the greater amount of the interest rate utilized for the actuarial valuation report or the discount rate applicable to Treasury bills issued by the Department of Treasury of the United States with a six-month maturity as of the last business day in December of the plan year in which the obligation is due. The total calculated accumulated interest due as of December 31, 2013, was \$963,315. The City provided a liability for this amount in the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013.

SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

Recommendation: We recommend the City institute procedures to ensure the minimum municipal obligation is funded prior to December 31 of the same year. In order to fund the pension timely, the City must review the budget process in order to meet the cash flow needs to fund the minimum municipal obligation by year-end.

Current Status: Not corrected.

See current finding 2014-003, Controls Over Employer Pension Contributions to Plans.

13-4 Cash Management

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Justice

Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants

CFDA 16.710

Condition: Reimbursement requests could not be tied to supporting documentation for the period for which reimbursement was requested. However, reimbursement requests did not exceed cumulative expenditures for allowable costs.

Recommendation: The City should set up internal controls that will ensure that reimbursement requests are supported by documentation showing that the costs for which reimbursement was requested was paid prior to the date of the reimbursement request.

Current Status: No funds for this program were received by the City in the current year; therefore, this program was not tested as a major program.

13-5 Reporting

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Justice

Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants

CFDA 16.710

Condition: The SF-425 reports did not accurately reflect the activity for the periods for which the reports were submitted.

Recommendation: The City should set up internal controls that will allow the City to properly summarize its activity for a given period and report those amounts on the SF-425 reports.

Current Status: No funds for this program were received by the City in the current year; therefore, this program was not tested as a major program.